UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/500,049	08/05/2004	Kazuhiro Ikeda	0171-1121PUS1	9117	
2292 7590 09/12/2007 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH					
PO BOX 747	CH VA 22040 0747	MORGAN JR, JACK HOSMER			
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3782		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/12/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/500,049	IKEDA ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jack H. Morgan	3782	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ss
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Divinsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this comm ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ju	une 2007.		
		action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the m	erits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)□ 10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 June 2004</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	D⊠ accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Sta	age
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	te of References Cited (PTO-892) the of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) the mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) the No(s)/Mail Date 6/25/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal (Date	

¥ .

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of the restriction between groups I and II in the reply filed on June 18, 2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is found persuasive and the requirement for restriction is withdrawn. Therefore, all claims will receive an action on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto (JP 2000/211655 A) in view of Yoshioka (JP 11301696 A). Yamamoto discloses a self standing bag (1) with a spout (Fig 2, 30) at the upper portion of the bag and welded edges (2a). Yamamoto does not disclose the edges having a sealer made of thermoplastic resin and integrally molded with the spout. Yoshioka discloses a bag (Fig 1, 11) having a spout (13) and an edge sealer (14) integrally injection molded ([0020]) in order to improve the sealing properties of the bag seam ([0019]). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to create the self-standing bag of Yamamoto with edge sealers integrally molded to the

Art Unit: 3782

spout as taught by Yoshioka in order to improve the sealing properties of the bag seams.

In regards to claim 4, Yoshioka discloses an alternative design (Fig 3) where the edge sealer (22, 24, 25) is integrally molded with the spout (23) (See top section) but molded in two steps, one half at a time, the two parts together forming the edge sealer.

Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Satoshi et al. (US 3,363,039), Friedman (US 3,362,302) and Hoffman (US 3,956,045).
- 4. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 II(A), MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

Application/Control Number: 10/500,049

Art Unit: 3782

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jack H. Morgan whose telephone number is 571-272-

3385. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nathan Newhouse can be reached on 571-272-4544. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jack H Morgan Examiner

Art Unit 3782

NATHAN J. NEWHOUSE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4